

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/623,360	(	07/18/2003	Ching-Cherng Lee	DCS-9176 5052	
34500	7590	05/02/2005		EXAMINER	
DADE BEI	HRING II	NC.		EL ARINI,	ZEINAB
LEGAL DEI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DEERFIELI	O, IL 600	015		1746	
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			lo	(4.4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		Ψ
	10/623,360	LEE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		$\dashv$
	Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely  (THS from the mailing date of this co		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	l.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-11 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected.				İ
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the соггес	tion is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	oplication No		
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	rity documents have been	received in this National	Stage	İ
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.		
Attachment(s)	🗀			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Su Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) VMail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/30/03</u> .	6)	_•		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

10/623,360

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-5, and 12-14, drawn to a method for cleansing a used reaction cuvette, classified in class 134, subclass 22.1.
  - II. Claims 6-11, drawn to an automatic wash station, classified in class 134, subclass 95.2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially

Art Unit: 1746

different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another apparatus such as one without a wash probes and drying probes.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

10/623,360

Art Unit: 1746

- 5. During a telephone conversation with Robert Carpenter on 04/27/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5 and 12-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

Art Unit: 1746

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "92" has been used to designate both curved rail and motor (page 11, line 13). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either

**Art Unit: 1746** 

"Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "84W" has been used to designate both wash line and wash tube (page 11, line 20). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended.

**Art Unit: 1746** 

Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9, paragraph 36, after "10/" the serial No. is missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

10/623,360

Art Unit: 1746

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-5 and 12-14 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 12 are incomplete, because positive steps of cleansing the used reaction cuvette have not been recited.

Claims 3 and 13 are indefinite, because it is not clear how to dry residues from the mini-washes.

10/623,360

Art Unit: 1746

In claim 5, lines 1, 3, "the reaction assay"; at line 2, "the residue", and at lines 2, 4, "the mini-washes" are all without proper antecedent basis.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

7. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choperena et al. (5,380,487).

Choperena et al. disclose a method of cleansing a used reaction vessel. The method comprises subjecting the vessel to a first series of cleaning operation before a first group of assays is scheduled to be next performed,

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1746

and subjecting a second series of cleaning operation before a second group of assays is scheduled to be next performed (claim 1). The reference also discloses subjecting the vessel to a first series of cleansing operations after a first group of assays has been performed in the vessel, and subjecting a second group of vessel to a second cleansing operations after a second group of assays has been previously performed in the vessel (claim 12). The reference discloses the scheduling as claimed. See col. 3, lines 29-39, line 65-col. 4, line 41, col. 5, lines 38-46, col. 22, lines 22-46, col. 24, lines 29-36, and Figs. 4-8.

10/623,360

Art Unit: 1746

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 2-5,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choperena et al in combination with Sakagami (4,785,407) and Jordan (4,325,910).

Choperena et al. as discussed supra do not teach the assays (claim4) and the discharging (claim 5), the number of cleansing operation (claims 4, 14) the drying (claims 3, 13).

10/623,360

Art Unit: 1746

Sakagami discloses automatic chemical analyzer with selective removal reaction vessel. The reference discloses detecting the dirtiness level of the cuvette during cleaning, if it is larger than the threshold level, a washing agent liquid is poured in the cuvette. See col. 5, lines 14-32, 37-56, col. 6, lines 2-11, and Figs.4 and 6..

Jordan discloses a method of washing and drying the reaction vessel and the cuvette elements, and the suctioning or discharging step. See col. 12, lines 45-48, col. 13, lines 12-17, Fig. 4.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the drying, the discharging taught by Jordan and the detecting step taught by Sakagami in the Choperena et al. process to improve the cleaning process. The assays as

Art Unit: 1746

claimed are inherent in Choperena et al. system. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to repeat the washing and rinsing to obtain optimum results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teinal Slavini
Zeinab E. EL-Arini
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1746

ZEE

04/27/05